

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/692,697	10/19/2000	William John Delinsky	Peregrin-P1-00 8755			
28710 75	590 04/21/2006		EXAMINER			
PETER K. TR	, ,	HAMILTON, LALITA M				
P O BOX 7131		ADTIBUT	DADED MINADED			
CHICAGO, IL 60680			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3624			
		DATE MAILED: 04/21/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ap	pplication No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			9/692,697		DELINSKY ET AL.			
			aminer		Art Unit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		La	lita M Hamilton		3624			
	- The MAILING DATE of this communi	cation appears	s on the cover she	et with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI SIDE OF THIS COMMUNI SIDE OF THIS COMMUNI SIDE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THI	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). unication. )) days, a reply withi tutory period will ap will, by statute, caus	In no event, however, m in the statutory minimum of ply and will expire SIX (6) se the application to beco	ay a reply be tim of thirty (30) days MONTHS from the ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	,							
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 October 2005</u> .							
·—	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims			ψ,				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn fi						
Applicati	ion Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office actions.	documents hadocuments hadof the priority on all Bureau (P	ave been received ave been received documents have b CT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application	on No ed in this National	l Stage		
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			riew Summary				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P		Pape	r No(s)/Mail Da	ate. <u>12182005</u> . atent Application (PT	·O-152)		
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PNo(s)/Mail Date	P10/8B/08)		:	atom ripphoation (F I	J 102)		



Application/Control Number: 09/692,697

Art Unit: 3624

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Request for Continued Examination (RCE)

The RCE filed on October 11, 2005 has been entered. A new action follows.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 6-26, and 28-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Erlanger (US 2003/0055778) in view of Lent (2002/0007341).

Erlanger discloses a data processing system and method for providing an efficient market for loans and lines of credit comprising a referrer-controlled method for transferring an inbound communication to one of a plurality of financial assistance providers, the method including receiving an inbound communication from a referring apparatus of information sufficient to identify a referrer identity, selecting which one of a plurality of financial assistance providers to refer the inbound communication by using a computer to look up and to apply referral criteria responsive to the referrer identity, and connecting the inbound communication to the one of the plurality of the financial assistance providers in accordance with the criteria (fig.2 and 4-all and p.5, 66 to p.8, 132); the steps of receiving and selecting are carried out with the referrer identity being a lender identity and with said inbound communication including a telephone connection to a debtor of a lender having the lender identity (fig.2 and 4-all and p.5, 66 to p.8, 132);

storing telephone numbers for a plurality of financial assistance providers in memory accessible by a digital electrical computer, obtaining lender criteria for selecting one of the financial assistance providers, storing said criteria for access by said computer, identifying a debtor, selecting one of the financial assistance providers by accessing the criteria, applying the criteria, and accessing one of the stored telephone numbers, and connecting the debtor by telephone to the one of the stored telephone numbers (fig.2 and 4-all and p.5, 66 to p.8, 132); receiving debtor-identifying information by telephony and communicating the information from said telephony to the lender for tracking debtor payment performance with said debtor-identifying information (fig.2 and 4-all and p.5, 66 to p.8, 132); providing some of said financial assistance centers with call activity reporting by means of a secure web site (fig.2 and 4-all and p.5, 66 to p.8, 132); providing call activity reporting updated no less than daily at a secure web site (fig.2 and 4-all and p.5, 66 to p.8, 132); providing a web site demonstration of said method (fig.2 and 4-all and p.5, 66 to p.8, 132); applying as said criteria a call routing triggered by a quantity of prior calls respectively placed to the financial assistance centers (fig.2 and 4all and p.5, 66 to p.8, 132); applying as said criteria a call routing triggered by a detection of a debtor who has previously been referred to one of the financial assistance centers (fig.2 and 4-all and p.5, 66 to p.8, 132); applying as said criteria a call routing triggered by time of day (fig.2 and 4-all and p.5, 66 to p.8, 132); applying as said criteria a call routing triggered by location of the debtor (fig.2 and 4-all and p.5, 66 to p.8, 132); applying as said criteria a default call routing triggered by a failure to make a first connection to one of the financial assistance centers (fig.2 and 4-all and p.5, 66 to p.8,

132); storing call referral information including number of calls and call duration data for each said financial assistance center and generating a report of said call referral information (fig.2 and 4-all and p.5, 66 to p.8, 132); storing call referral information including caller hang up data; generating a report of said call referral information (fig.2 and 4-all and p.5, 66 to p.8, 132); storing call referral information including attempted but uncompleted call connecting and generating a report of said call referral information (fig.2 and 4-all and p.5, 66 to p.8, 132); generating a call referral report by time period for each said financial assistance center (fig.2 and 4-all and p.5, 66 to p.8, 132); including in the report an analysis of call referral activity by time of day (fig.2 and 4-all and p.5, 66 to p.8, 132); including in the report an of call referral analysis activity by day of week (fig.2 and 4-all and p.5, 66 to p.8, 132); including in the report an analysis of call referral activity by state of debtor (fig.2 and 4-all and p.5, 66 to p.8, 132); including in the report an analysis of uncompleted calls (fig.2 and 4-all and p.5, 66 to p.8, 132); generating a call referral report including a comparison of said financial lenders (fig.2 and 4-all and p.5, 66 to p.8, 132); a computer system programmed to implement a method for referring a telephone communication to one of a plurality of financial assistance providers based on lender criteria, the computer system including a digital electrical computer having a processor, the processor electrically connected to store and receive electrical signals at a memory device, to receive input electrical signals corresponding to input information from an input device, to convert output electrical signals into output information at an output device, the processor programmed to control the digital electrical computer to receive the input electrical signals and to process the

input electrical signals to produce the output electrical signals in storing telephone numbers for a plurality of financial assistance providers in memory accessible by said digital electrical computer, storing lender-provided criteria for selecting one of the financial assistance providers, identifying a debtor in response to a telephone communication, and selecting one of the financial assistance providers by accessing the criteria, applying the criteria, and accessing one of the stored telephone numbers to connect the debtor to the one of the stored telephone numbers (fig. 2 and 4-all and p.5, 66 to p.8, 132); a telephone controlled by said digital electrical computer to connect the debtor by telephone to the one of the stored telephone numbers (fig.2 and 4-all and p.5, 66 to p.8, 132); a method for making a computer system to refer a telephone communication to one of a plurality of financial assistance providers based on lender criteria, the method including the steps of providing a digital electrical computer having a processor, the processor electrically connected to store and receive electrical signals at a memory device, to receive signals in storing telephone numbers for a plurality of financial assistance providers in memory accessible by said digital electrical computer, storing lender-provided criteria for selecting one of the financial assistance providers, identifying a debtor in response to a telephone communication, and selecting one of the financial assistance providers by accessing the criteria, applying the criteria, and accessing one of the stored telephone numbers to connect the debtor to the one of the stored telephone numbers (fig.2 and 4-all and p.5, 66 to p.8, 132); a computerized method for providing call referral activity reporting at an Internet address, the method including the steps of generating call referral data by receiving an inbound telephone

communication from a referring apparatus of information sufficient to identify a referrer identity, selecting which one of a plurality of financial assistance providers to refer the inbound communication by using a computer to look up and to apply referral criteria responsive to the referrer identity, and connecting the inbound communication to the one of the plurality of the financial assistance providers in accordance with the criteria, and posting call referral data to the Internet web address (fig.2 and 4-all and p.5, 66 to p.8, 132); and engaging accounting software to track compensation for the connecting (fig.2 and 4-all and p.5, 66 to p.8, 132). Erlanger does not disclose referring a communication to credit counseling agencies. Lent teaches a method and corresponding system for credit comprising directing an applicant to credit counseling agencies (p.7, 77). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of referring applicants to credit counseling agencies, as taught by Lent into the invention disclosed by Erlanger, to allow applicants to fully understand how to manage their debt.

Claims 4-5 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Erlanger and Lent as applied to claim 2 above, and in further view of Katz (US 2002/0055906).

Erlanger discloses and Lent teaches the invention substantially as claimed; however, neither reference discloses or teaches using ANI to detect a telephone number and associating the telephone number with debtor information; using IVR to associate the telephone number with debtor information; or using DNIS to detect a telephone number and associating the telephone number with the lender. Katz teaches

a methods and apparatus for intelligent selection of goods and services in telephonic and electronic commerce comprising using ANI to detect a telephone number and associating the telephone number with debtor information (p.10, 88); using IVR to associate the telephone number with debtor information (p.10, 88); and using DNIS to detect a telephone number and associating the telephone number with the lender (p.1-2, 8). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate using ANI to detect a telephone number and associating the telephone number with debtor information; using IVR to associate the telephone number with debtor information; and using DNIS to detect a telephone number and associating the telephone number with the lender, as taught by Katz into the system and method disclosed by Erlanger and taught by Lent, as an additional means of interaction and tracking calls.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-33 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lalita M Hamilton whose telephone number is (703) 306-5715. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday (8:30-4:30).

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/692,697

Art Unit: 3624

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LMH